

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/549,685	CAROFF ET AL.	

  

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
SARAH PIHONAK	1627	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** ALLOWED

(1) SARAH PIHONAK. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) JEFF VOCKRODT. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 16 December 2009

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*ALL*

Claims discussed:

11-16 & 22-33

Prior art documents discussed:

*ALL*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*SEE CONTINUATION*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/S. P./  
Examiner, Art Unit 1627

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Pending claims 11-16 & 22-33 were discussed. Amendments to claims 11, 12, and 24 were discussed; in response, Atty. Vockrodt filed an amended claim set on 12/17/2009 for consideration.